

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/973,225	10/09/2001	Rene Kagi	- 7041.P32CIP	2399	
7590 12/10/2003			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Philip J. Lee			LUGO, CARLOS		
Suite 525 10050 Regency Circle			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Omaha, NE 68114			3677		
		DATE MAILED: 12/10/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. *			8				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/973,225	KAGI, RENE				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Carlos Lugo	3677				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS frostatute, cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	22 September 2003.					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ ²	This action is non-final.					
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	 ✓ Claim(s) 2-5 and 11 is/are pending in the application. ✓ 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3 is/are withdrawn from consideration. ✓ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ✓ Claim(s) 2,5 and 11 is/are rejected. ✓ Claim(s) 4 is/are objected to. ✓ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Applicati	ion Papers						
10)⊠ 11)□	The specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 October 2001</u> is Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ object o the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Somection is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
-	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
a) 13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a Acknowledgment is made of a claim for donince a specific reference was included in the 7 CFR 1.78. 1) The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for done ference was included in the first sentence	ments have been received. ments have been received in Applic priority documents have been rece ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). a list of the certified copies not rece nestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 ne first sentence of the specification e provisional application has been re	ation No ived in this National Stage ived. 9(e) (to a provisional application) or in an Application Data Sheet. received. 20 and/or 121 since a specific				
Attachmen	• •	_					
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/973,225 Page 2

Art Unit: 3677

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is in response to applicant's RCE filed on September 22, 2003.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

• The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 5 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being

indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter

which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 5 recites the limitation "upon application of opposing axial forces applied to

the rims" in line 14. However, the specification and the drawings (Figure 8) show that

only one rim is applied with an axial force (in Figure 8, the force will be applied to the

rim where reference number 12 is pointing), the other rim rest against the corner of

the hole. It is clear that when the axial force is applied to the upper rim, there will be

an opposite force, but is not applied by the person or instrument that applied the

force to the upper rim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form

the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) The invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United

States.

5. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Pat No

859,733 to Bot.

Bot discloses a device (4) comprising a disc shaped surface, of generally uniform thickness, having a radially outer, annular and planar rim smaller than the diameter of a hole (3). The device includes a cone or dome shaped continuous surface with a central apex raised above the plane of the rim in a first direction.

The outer rim has a large enough diameter relative to the diameter of the hole that the rim expands radially outward to engage the wall of the hole upon application of an axial force applied in the first direction. The axial pressure will achieve radial expansion of the device rim and a press fit with a wall of the hole. The surface is flattened to traverse and seal the hole (lines 45-47).

6. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Pat No 2,058,452 to Hoffmann.

Hoffman discloses a device (11) comprising a disc shaped surface, of generally uniform thickness, having a radially outer, annular and planar rim smaller than the diameter of a hole (9). The device includes a cone or dome shaped continuous surface with a central apex raised above the plane of the rim in a first direction.

The outer rim has a large enough diameter relative to the diameter of the hole that the rim expands radially outward to engage the wall of the hole upon application of an axial force applied in the first direction. The axial pressure will achieve radial expansion of the element rim and a press fit with a wall of the hole. The surface is flattened to traverse and seal the hole (Figure 2).

Application/Control Number: 09/973,225 Page 4

Art Unit: 3677

Allowabl Subject Matter

7. Claim 5 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action. Claim 11 will be allowed because depends from claim 5.

8. Claim 4 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Reasons For Allowable Subject Matter

9. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Claims 4 and 5 present allowable subject matter over the prior art of record because the teachings of the references taken as a whole do not teach or render obvious the combination set forth, including that the cone or dome is formed at a first end of a cylinder (claim 4) and that the element, having a V cross sectional shape, is placed into the hole to flatten the annular raised section of the element thereby achieving a radial expansion of the element rim and a press fit with the wall of the hole (claim 5).

Regarding claim 4, Hoffman (US 2,058,452) and Bot (US 859,733) fails to disclose that the cone or dome is formed at a first end of a cylinder.

Wolfram (US 2,458,817) and Kowal (US 3,576,335) discloses a tube end having a frustoconical annular flange having a planar outer rim. However, both references fails to disclose that when the element is placed into the hole, the element is flatten

Application/Control Number: 09/973,225

Art Unit: 3677

and it will achieving a radial expansion of the element rim and a press fit with the wall of the hole.

As to claim 5, Hoffman (US 2,058,452), Dreisin (US 3,038,456) and Bot (US 859,733) fails to disclose that the element has a second surface, inverted with respect to the first surface that when is placed into the hole to flatten the annular raised section of the element thereby achieving a radial expansion of the element rim and a press fit with the wall of the hole and reduce the distant between the outer rims of each surface.

Weber (US 3,637,223), Bialkowski (US 3,345,078), Trbovich (US 3,326,560) and Lien (US 4,372,565), previously prior art cited, discloses an element having two similar opposite surfaces, but fails to disclose that the element will be flattened to achieve a radial expansion.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments filed on September 22, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding applicant's arguments that neither Bot nor Hoffman discloses the invention as claimed in claim 2 (Page 5 Line 19), Bot and Hoffman discloses and illustrates the invention as claimed. Both, Bot and Hoffman discloses and illustrates

Application/Control Number: 09/973,225

Art Unit: 3677

that the element, after been flattened, will traverse and seal the hole. In other words,

the flattened element will lie across the hole and seal or secure the element.

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Carlos Lugo whose telephone number is 703-305-

9747. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-6pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Judy Swann can be reached on 703-306-4115. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-

9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-

306-5771.

Carlos Lugo

Examiner

Art Unit 367

November 24, 2003.

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 6